

Geisinger inter-APP-ability: Experience with SMART/FHIR

Alistair Erskine, MD Chief Clinical Informatics Officer Geisinger Health System

GEISING BOUNDARIES

Copyright Geisinger Health System 2015

Geisinger Health System - Proprietary Not for reuse or distribution without permission





GEISINGER

REDEFINING BOUNDARIES[®]



- 6 hospitals (Pending: AtlantiCare)
- > 4 outpatient surgery centers
- 2 Nursing Homes
- Home health & hospice services
- >100K admissions/OBS & SORUs
- 2,045 licensed inpatient beds



- Multispecialty group
- > ~1,220 physician FTEs
- > ~750 advanced practitioners
- > 113 primary & specialty clinic sites (60 community practice)
- > 1 outpatient surgery center
- > ~2.8 million outpatient visits
- > ~430 resident & fellow FTEs
- > ~335 medical students



- ~500,000 members (including ~100,000 Medicare Advantage members and ~132,000 Medicaid members)
- > Diversified products
- ~50,000 contracted providers/facilities
- > 43 PA counties
- Offered on public & private exchanges
- Members in 5 states

Moody's Aa2/Stable Standard & Poor's AA/Stable



Geisinger Patient-Centered Continuum of Care



The Learning Health System – IOM series

"progress in *science*, *informatics*, and *care culture* align to *generate new knowledge* as an ongoing, natural *byproduct of the care experience*, and seamlessly refine and deliver best practices for continuous improvement in health and healthcare."





Hardwire Learning





Institute for Advanced Application

Transforming healthcare through continual innovation & reengineering



6

Applied Research and Clinical Informatics Step 2



REDEFINING BOUNDARIES 7





Scaling and Generalizing



ICIJINUCK redefining boundaries 9

Step 4



REDEFINING BOUNDARIES' 1

ProvenCare® CHRONIC DISEASE

Portfolio of ProvenCare® Chronic Disease Programs

- Diabetes
- Congestive Heart Failure
- Coronary Artery Disease
- Hypertension
- COPD
- Prevention Bundle





Improving Diabetic Care for 30,005 patients

	3/06	1/14	12/14	1/15
Number of Patients	20,178	27459	29,805	30,005
Diabetes Bundle Percentage	2.4%	13.7%	19.1%	18.5%
% Pneumococcal Vaccination	59%	79%	80%	80%
% Microalbumin Result	58%	80%	76%	76%
% HgbA1c at Goal	33%	47%	50%	49%
% LDL at Goal *Change to @ Goal on patient list July 2014	50%	60%	65%	65%
% BP at Goal *Change to @ Goal on patient list July 2014	39%	79%	76%	76%
% Documented Non-Smokers	74%	85%	85%	85%



ProvenCare[®] Type 2 Diabetes Value Driven Care Outcome Improvements

Heart Attack



- -Less than 3 years
- -305 prevented with estimated savings of \$27,111/case = \$8.3M

Stroke



- -Less than 3 years
- -140 prevented with estimated savings of \$2,921/case = \$412K



Retinopathy

- -Less than 3 years
- -166 cases prevented!
- -Quality of life maintained
- -Savings...priceless



THE AMERICAN JOURNAL OF MANAGED CARE.

Primary Care Diabetes Bundle Management: Three-Year Outcomes for Microvascular and Macrovascular Events (FBloom; TGraf; WStewart; GSteele, et. al. , June 2014 (20(6); 175-182)



ProvenCare® Portfolio

ProvenCare[®]:

- ProvenCare® Autism
- ProvenCare® Bariatric Surgery
- ProvenCare® Cellulitis
- ProvenCare® COPD
- ProvenCare® Coronary Artery Bypass
- Graft (CABG)
- ProvenCare® CNS Mets
- ProvenCare® Epilepsy
- ProvenCare® Fragility Hip Fracture
- ProvenCare® Heart Failure
- ProvenCare® Hepatitis C
- ProvenCare® Hysterectomy
- ProvenCare® Inflammatory Bowel

- ProvenCare® Lung Cancer (CoC
- Collaborative)
- ProvenCare® Lumbar Spine
- ProvenCare® Migraine
- ProvenCare® Multiple Sclerosis
- ProvenCare® Percutaneous Coronary
- Intervention (PCI)
- ProvenCare® Perinatal
- ProvenCare® Psoriasis
- ProvenCare® Rectal Cancer
- ProvenCare® Rheumatoid Arthritis
- ProvenCare® Total Hip
- ProvenCare® Total Knee

ProvenCare® Evidence-Based Guidelines (EBG) (in conjunction with PRIDE):

- Chest Pain R/O MI (ED)
- Kidney Stone (ED)
- Newborn Protocols
- Pediatric Abdominal Pain (R/O Appendicitis (ED))

- Pediatric Head Injury (ED)
- Pediatric Pulmonary Embolism (ED)
- Sepsis (ED) & Sepsis (Med/Surg)
- Vent Management

ProvenHealth Navig tor B Fesults





15



Ref: NIH Clinical Research Information System available at http://cris.cc.nih.gov/images/Figure_2-lg.png



Monoliths (2000s)

- One database
- Easier to maintain
- Customizations

Tower of Babel



Painting: Pieter Bruegel the Elder c. 1563



CHIN: Community Health Information Network

RHIO: Regional Health Information Exchange

HIE: Health Information 2010s

Apps: Use SMART on FHIR resources



next

Inter-APP-able - Geisinger/xG Health Solutions





Rheum App: Key Improvement Domains

- Empowering the Provider
- Improving Efficiency and Productivity
- Better more Cost Effective Decision Making
- Improving Quality of Care

Key Point: Design to co-exist and augment EHR capabilities; Not design to replace the EHR





Rheum App Achievements

•Grants and Contracts

- AHRQ, AMGA, and Pharma Grants \$382,000
- MedMining Opportunities (\$1.37 million)

Patent Pending

Submitted 9/12/13 - U.S. Continuation Patent Application No. 14/448,211

National Presentations (5)

- ACR Quality Performance Indicators for Rheumatoid Arthritis
- Rheumatology Dashboard An Efficient Summary that Alters Physician Treatment
- Rheumatology Touchscreen Questionnaire Improve Efficiency/Care
- Rheumatoid Arthritis Quality Measure Bundle Development and Implementation
- Rheum PACER Design, Implementation, and Adoption

•Manuscripts in Top Peer-reviewed Journal (2)

- Newman ED, Lerch V, Jones JB, Stewart W. Touchscreen questionnaire patient data collection in rheumatology practice: Development of a highly successful system using process redesign. Arthritis Care and Research 2012;64:589-596
- Newman ED, Lerch V, Billet J, Berger A, Kirchner HL. Improving the Quality of Care of Patients with Patients with Rheumatic Disease Using Patient-Centric Electronic Redesign Software. Arthritis Care and Research 2014; DOI 10.1002/acr.22479



Improving Quality (2,378 RA patients)





Rheum App: Reducing Cost

Biologic De-escalation Analysis CY 2013*

Total Number of patient charts for review	940
Number of Patients De-escalated	87 (9.3%)
Number (%) of Successful De-escalations	74 (85.1%)
Total Savings	\$719,702
1 year Extrapolation for Successful De-escalations	\$1,256,886

*Session "Rheumatoid Arthritis - Clinical Aspects II: Remission and De-escalation of Therapy", Presentation #941 on 11/16/14



Conversion to SMART/FHIR: Timelines





Before FHIR: Epic Application Integration

External Application in a Side-by-side implementation







After FHIR: Cerner Application Integration

External Application Embedded In EHR Workflow









enrg))rheum

Questionnaire	Nursing					
Tuon da	Events Since Las	st Visit (or 3 mo if new patient)	Social History		
Trends	Event	Y/N	Comments	Work Status	Employed Full Time	
HPI	New Illness	No 🔻		Occupation	Teacher	
	Fracture	No •		Home Status	Lives with spouse	
Tasks	Operation	No •	knee surgery	Exercise	3 or more times per week	
Clinic Note		No •		Education	Bachelors Degree	
	New Symptom	No 🔻		Alcohol	Denies Alcohol Use	
AVS	Med Side Effect	No 🔻		Smoking	Never smoker	
	Marital Change	No 🔹		Falls past month	No	
				Use of Cane	No	
Update From EHR				Use of Walker	Yes	
Medications Diagnoses Labs All	If patient global has not been o ask the patient: Considering which your illness may affect how are you doing, on a scale very well and 10 being v Patient Global (0-10)					
Commands Print Clinic Note	Decree for Visite					
AVS	Reason for visit:					



enrg)rheum





enrg)rheum





enrg))rheum



GEISINGER REDEFINING BOUNDARIES 31

Questionnaire	Today							
	Pain and Function Scales				Review of Symptoms	(ROS)		
	Function (0-10)	9			General	Feeling depr	ressed	
HPI	Print Preview			A				25
	onrcithoum	ı.						_
	emon					Сору	Send to EHR	
		WBC	9.9 (5/22/2014	4) 9.8	(2/19/2014)	9.6 (10/1/2013		^
	Clinic Notes	HGB	12.0 (5/22/20)	14) 12.4	(2/19/2014)	12.0 (10/1/201	2)	
		PLT	200.0 (12/4/2	014) 200.	0 (10/1/2014)	200.0 (2/19/20	014)	
		AST	19.0 (10/9/20)	14) 23.0	(9/30/2014)	17.0 (6/8/2013	5)	
		ALT	17.0 (10/1/20)	14) 18.0	(9/30/2014)	17.0 (10/9/201	.3)	
		ALB	4.0 (10/1/2014	4) 4.2	(9/30/2014)	4.0 (6/8/2013)		
		Disease Specific						
		СК	42.0 (9/30/20	14) 78.0	(11/7/2012)			
		Outcome Measures						
		Measure	Too	day's Value (Date)	Previous Value (Date)	First Value ((Date)	
		Patient Measures						
		MDHAQ (0-10)	9	(4/6/2015)	8 (1/14/2015)	2 (1/11/2	012)	
		Pain VAS (0-10)	9	(4/6/2015)	8 (1/14/2015)	1 (1/11/2	012)	
		Fatigue VAS (0-10)	8	(4/6/2015)	9 (1/14/2015)	2 (1/11/2	012)	
		AM Stiff (0-10)	8	(4/6/2015)	7 (1/14/2015)	2 (1/11/2	012)	
		Patient Global (0-10)	8	(4/6/2015)	9 (1/14/2015)	2 (1/11/2	012)	
Undata From EUD		Physician Measures						
Update From EHR		Tender joint count (0-28	3) 24	(4/6/2015)	22 (1/14/2015)	2 (1/11/2	012)	
Madications		Swollen joint count (0-2	8) 21	(4/6/2015)	19 (1/14/2015)	3 (1/11/2	012)	
Medications		Physician Global (0-10)	8	(4/6/2015)	8 (1/14/2015)	1 (1/11/2	.012)	
Diagnoses		Lab/Composite						
olugnoses		CDAI (0-76) - low activit	y ≤ 10 61	(4/6/2015)	58 (1/14/2015)	8 (1/11/2	2012)	
		Rapid3 (0-30) - low activ	vity ≤ 6 26	(4/6/2015)	25 (1/14/2015)	5 (1/11/2	.012)	
All		Goals						
		Measure	Today's Value (Date)	Previous Value (Date	e) Interpretation	Goal	Goal Met	
		MDHAQ (0-10)	9 (4/6/2015)	8 (1/14/2015)	worsening			
Commands		Pain (0-10)	9 (04/06/2015)	8 (01/14/2015)	about the same			
a 1 a		·		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•

Reason for V



"SMART/FHIR" Rheum App: Accomplishments

- The Rheum App allowed us to transition data integration points quickly and efficiently via data virtualization layer
- No prior knowledge working with SMART on FHIR team gained knowledge in the 40 day POC timeframe
- Most FHIR resources utilized with the Rheum App at Proof of Concept completion
- Real time data for current and historical data
- Additional functionality coded at the time of SMART/FHIR conversion:
 - Problem list Diagnoses Insert via FHIR Services
 - Progress note Insert via FHIR Services



SMART/FHIR Rheum App: System Engineering

The Four ICSM Principles

- Stakeholder valuebased guidance.
- Incremental commitment and accountability.
- Concurrent multidiscipline engineering
- Evidence and riskbased decisions.

Stage I: Incremental Definition				Stage II: Incremental Development, Operations and Production			
ICSM Anchor Points	ECR	VCR	FCR	DCR1	DCR1 DCR2		
ICSM Life - Cycle Phases	Exploration	Valuation	Foundations	Developments Foundations2	Operativiction and Production (O&P) (O) (O&P) (O&P) (O&P) (O&P) (O&P) (O&P) (O&P) (O&P) (O&P) (O&P) (O) (O&P) (O&P) (O&P) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O) (O	/	
Activities							
Concurrent risk - and opportunity-driven growth of system understanding and definition	Initial scoping	Concept definition Investment analysis	System life-cycle architecture and ops concept Build-to increment plans and specifications NDI, outsource partner selections	Increment 1 Development Increment 2 Foundations rebaseline	Increment 1 Operations and Production Increment 2 Development Increment 3 Foundations rebaseline		
Evaluation of evidence of feasibility to proceed	Feasibility Evidence						
Stakeholder review and commitment	High, but addressable Too high, unaddressable	Acceptable sk? Ris Negligible		*	sk? Ris		
Adjust scope, priorities, or discontinue							
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Exploration} \\ \mbox{ECR} = \mbox{Commitment} \\ \mbox{Review} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Valuation} \\ \mbox{Commitment} \\ \mbox{Review} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Foundations} \\ \mbox{Foundations} \\ \mbox{DCR}_n = \mbox{Development} \\ \mbox{Commitment} \\ \mbox{Review}_n \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Operations} \\ \mbox{Operations} \\ \mbox{Commitment} \\ \mbox{Review}_n \end{array} \end{array} $							

Ref: Boehm B et al, The Incremental Commitment Spiral Model, ISBN-13 978-0-321-80822-6

34

REDEFINING BOUNDARIES

SMART/FHIR Rheum App: Learning

Not all HIT developers are FHIR-ready:

- More negotiation about the expected attributed exchange between App and EHR is likely
- Significant amount of "code conversion" as HIT developers adapt their proprietary APIs to SMART/FHIR
- Each FHIR resource needs agreement between App and EHR on which profile to use to transmit data
- Nevertheless, most of the App code was re-usable across multiple HIT developers

Several types of Apps are emerging from exercise:

- EHR-agnostic Apps: functions purely through data exchange
- EHR-dependent Apps: combine App with ordersets/templates
- EHR embedded Apps: App entirely dependent on EHR functionality



SMART/FHIR Rheum App: Learning

Testing and Validation

- Used SMART Platform for testing*
- Created a particular dataset for validation of App-specific needs
- Only used 11 of the 49 FHIR resources for Rheum App
- Found it better to use FHIR calls in real-time rather than store in local database
- **Consortium/Alliances Support**
 - HSPC (<u>http://healthcaresoa.org/Introduction.html</u>)
 - Project Argonaut (<u>https://hl7-fhir.github.io/argonauts.html</u>)
 - Commonwell (<u>http://www.commonwellalliance.org</u>)

Questions remain:

- External Apps writing back to EHR database
- Vendor neutral "App-Store", currently only HIT developer stores

GEISINGER REDEFINING BOUNDARIES 36

SMART/FHIR Project Resources/Cost

Duration

- 40 Business days from kickoff to completion of POC
- 31 Business days of development

Programming Resources

- 3 Web App Developers
- 1 Lead Web App Developer

Programming Hours

• 736

Programming Cost

• \$17,859



GEISINGER JGEISINGER



